

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/801,929	<b>Applicant(s)</b> THACKER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jimmy H. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2629	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Jimmy H. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John R. Sanders (applicant's rep.).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2007

**Time:** 12:55pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 32 and 46

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 1, 5, 32 and 46 to correct minor informalities, to clarify the claimed invention, and to make the claimed invention consistent with the disclosure. The amendment to these claims is provided in the attached Examiner's Amendment.